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## They are complex

## H $H$ ? adaptive

160 Scenario $1 \quad$| Scenario 1: Onset of obesity at 20 |
| :--- |
| Continued obesity and onset of metabolic syndrome at 40 |
| Onset of diabetes at 50 |
| Onset of renal failure at 60 |
| Death at 70 |

## Goal: To predict health state at time t given data at $\mathbf{t}^{\prime}$



Chronic diseases are a result of "desgaste" (wear and tear). This has two dimensions: extensive (age) - how long has the desgaste been going on for and one intensive, how severe is the desgaste per unit time

What data do we have going from one time to another? MIDO/SIC, UNAM, ENSANUT,...
What data is predictive for going from one time to another?

Research question!

## The degree of desgaste depends on your decisions and your Prediction/Decision Heuristic/Algorithm depends on...

"Whac"ayeunaiv What ench how you "feel"



Your prediction/decision heuristic/algorithm then determines your behaviour - what you do

## What is a decision?

## A"decision"

In the exact sciences, predictions

Curative Medicine
Less complex, less adaptative

Preventative Medicine More complex, more adaptative

In medicine and public health, predictions
tend to be heurístic
$X(t)=$ the information used to make the decisión (predict)

## How much information do you need or use

 to make a "good decision"?What degree of multi-factoriality is there?
Preventative medicine requires a lot more data. Where do we get that data...? from the data revolution
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## Predictive model for obesity...

Results from predictive models * based on data from a study of 1,076 non-academics and academics from the UNAM:
2,524 variables - Genetic, epidemiological, physiological,...

Epidemiological: Personal (81), Personal history (130), Family History (548), Self-health evaluation (226), Nutrition (220), Lifestyle (390), Health knowledge (293)
Genetic (772)
Anthropometric and physiological (49)

Models are classification models of Naive Bayes type. Model performance is based on a 70/30 training/ test split

| Nutrition |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Specificity (TNR) | $83.40 \%$ |
| 1 - Specificity (SPC) | $16.60 \%$ |
| Sensitivity (FPR) | $29.69 \%$ |
| Accuracy (ACC) | $72.76 \%$ |
| AUC ROC | 0.63 |
| Lifestyle | $84.17 \%$ |
| Specificity (TNR) | $15.83 \%$ |
| 1 - Specificity (SPC) | $31.25 \%$ |
| Sensitivity (FPR) | $73.68 \%$ |
| Accuracy (ACC) | 0.70 |
| AUC ROC |  |
| Lifestyle and Nutrition | $78.38 \%$ |
| Specificity (TNR) | $21.62 \%$ |
| 1 - Specificity (SPC) | $46.88 \%$ |
| Sensitivity (FPR) | $72.14 \%$ |
| Accuracy (ACC) | 0.71 |
| AUC ROC |  |
| Lifestyle and Nutrition and |  |
| Personal and Family History |  |
| Specificity (TNR) | $81.08 \%$ |
| 1-Specificity (SPC) | $18.92 \%$ |
| Sensitivity (FPR) | $51.56 \%$ |
| Accuracy (ACC) | $75.23 \%$ |
| AUC ROC | 0.76 |



| Variable | Valor | Epsilon | NX | $\mathbf{N X C}^{\mathrm{NI}}$ | $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\mathbf{N e}^{-}$ | Pc | Pxc | Descripción |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aestatura | 1 | 4.801461 | 91 | 38 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.4176 | Estatura que estima tener el encuestado <1.5 : 1 |
| Aestatura | 2 | -0.92449 | 399 | 77 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.193 | Estatura que estima tener el encuestado [1.5, 1.6) : 2 |
| Aestatura | 3 | -1.09413 | 366 | 69 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.1885 | Estatura que estima tener el encuestado [1.6, 1.7) : 3 |
| Aestatura | 4 | 0.143796 | 185 | 40 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.2162 | Estatura que estima tener el encuestado [1.7, 1.8) : 4 |
| Aestatura | 5 | -1.63546 | 32 | 3 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.0938 | Estatura que estima tener el encuestado [1.8, 1.9) : 5 |
| Aestatura | 6 | -0.7333 | 2 | 0 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0 | Estatura que estima tener el encuestado [1.9, 2.0) : 6 |
| ${ }_{\text {Ai }}$ Aestatura | 7 | 1.928548 | 1 | 1 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 1 | Estatura que estima tener el encuestado >2.0) :7 |
| Apeso | 1 | -3.77209 | 62 | 1 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.0161 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado <=50 : 1 |
| Apeso | 2 | -4.05811 | 79 | 2 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.0253 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado ( 50,55 ) : 2 |
| ${ }_{\text {Al }}$ Apeso | 3 | -5.74441 | 132 | 1 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.0076 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado $[55,60): 3$ |
| ${ }^{\text {Al }}$ Apeso | 4 | -5.1211 | 172 | 9 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.0523 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado $[60,65): 4$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Al }}$ Apeso | 5 | -1.86651 | 142 | 21 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.1479 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado $[65,70)$ : 5 |
| Apeso | 6 | -2.34173 | 138 | 18 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.1304 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado $[70,75): 6$ |
| Apeso | 7 | 0.84116 | 106 | 26 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.2453 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado [75, 80) : 7 |
| ${ }_{41}$ Apeso | 8 | 8.123762 | 143 | 70 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.4895 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado [80,90) : 8 |
| Apeso | 9 | 14.14686 | 102 | 80 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.7843 | Peso que estima tener el encuestado >=90 : 9 |
| condi_act | 1 | 5.045429 | 44 | 23 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.5227 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física actual? 1: Muy mala |
| condi_act | 2 | 5.865344 | 189 | 73 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.3862 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física actual? 2: Mala |
| condi_act | 3 | -0.57931 | 429 | 86 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.2005 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física actual? 3: Regular |
| condi_act | 4 | -4.18504 | 355 | 43 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.1211 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física actual? 4: Buena |
| condi_act | 5 | -2.94241 | 57 | 3 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.0526 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física actual? 5: Muy buena |
| condi_act | 8 | -0.7333 | 2 | 0 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física actual? 8: No quiero r |
| condi1 | 1 | 3.176688 | 41 | 17 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.4146 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física hace un año? 1: Muy |
| condi1 | 2 | 4.71648 | 180 | 64 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.3556 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física hace un año? 2 : Mala |
| condi1 | 3 | 0.133941 | 396 | 85 | 1076 | 228 | 0.2119 | 0.2146 | ¿Cómo consideras tu condición física hace un año? 3: Regula |
| Trendirsto | 4 | -3, $6^{5254}$ | $)^{367}$ | $4{ }^{57}$ | ェง976 | 2228 | 0:2119 | 0.2553 | 'Cormesonsiņeractumeendirión física hace un año? 4 : Ruena |



## Obesity - risk factors What you do <br> You aren't what you eat you become what you eat

Epidemiological data from ENSANUT 2006


We get fatter then we get thinner


The obese eat as much as the thin

We eat less the older we get

|  | Variable(s) | Unstd. B | Std. Error | t | f | R^2 | Sig | Lower | Upper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moving Av. |  |  |  |  | 29.236 | 0.343 | 0 |  |  |
| BMI Change | Constant | -1.954 | 0.362 | -5.392 |  |  | 0 | -2.68 | -1.228 |
| ALL | Total_Cals | 0.904 | 0.167 | 5.407 |  |  | 0 | 0.569 | 1.239 |
|  | Variable(s) | Unstd. B | Std. Error | t | f | R^2 | Sig | Lower | Upper |
| Moving Av. |  |  |  |  | 13.397 | 0.193 | 0.001 |  |  |
| BMI Change | Constant | -1.625 | 0.444 | -3.656 |  |  | 0.001 | -2.515 | -0.734 |
| Men | Total_Cals | 0.724 | 0.198 | 3.66 |  |  | 0.001 | 0.328 | 1.121 |
|  | Variable(s) | Unstd. B | Std. Error | t | f | R^2 | Sig | Lower | Upper |
| Moving Av. |  |  |  |  | 22.429 | 0.286 | 0 |  |  |
| BMI Change | Constant | -1.754 | 0.372 | -4.711 |  |  | 0 | -2.5 | -1.008 |
| Women | Total_Cals | 0.833 | 0.176 | 4.736 |  |  | 0 | 0.481 | 1.185 |

Its the excess of calories that is the motor for obesity. The motor is more active at 20 and stops at 50 and then goes in reverse.

## Obesity - risk factors What you do

Epidemiological data from ENSANUT 2006
The motor changes its fuel...


|  | Edad 20 | Edad 50 | Edad 80 | Diff 50 20 | Diff 80 20 | Diff 80 50 | Edad 20 | Edad 50 | Edad 80 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S | 650 | 540 | 460 | $16.92 \%$ | $29.23 \%$ | $14.81 \%$ | $26.75 \%$ | $23.38 \%$ | $24.73 \%$ |
| FF | 230 | 185 | 140 | $19.57 \%$ | $39.13 \%$ | $24.32 \%$ | $9.47 \%$ | $8.01 \%$ | $7.53 \%$ |
| M | 370 | 330 | 240 | $10.81 \%$ | $35.14 \%$ | $27.27 \%$ | $15.23 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ | $12.90 \%$ |
| D | 450 | 415 | 370 | $7.78 \%$ | $17.78 \%$ | $10.84 \%$ | $18.52 \%$ | $17.97 \%$ | $19.89 \%$ |
| F | 230 | 270 | 200 | $-17.39 \%$ | $13.04 \%$ | $25.93 \%$ | $9.47 \%$ | $11.69 \%$ | $10.75 \%$ |
| V | 120 | 150 | 90 | $-25.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $4.94 \%$ | $6.49 \%$ | $4.84 \%$ |
| C | 380 | 420 | 360 | $-10.53 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ | $15.64 \%$ | $18.18 \%$ | $19.35 \%$ |
|  | 2430 | 2310 | 1860 | $4.94 \%$ | $23.46 \%$ | $19.48 \%$ |  |  |  |

The fuel mix at age 20 consists of $51.5 \%$ sugars, junk food and meat and $30 \%$ fruit, vegetables and cereals. At age 50 its $45.5 \%$ and $36.5 \%$.

Accelerated reduction in meat consumption in the aged

## Do you become what you eat?

The data shows an overconsumption of 200-300 Cals / day at age 20-30. 8 Cal / day is enough (naively through the famous/infamous 3500 cal rule) to generate the observed increase in BMI. Where do the other calories go?

## Why aren't we even fatter?

Relation between temperature and BMI


Study 1

|  | Study 1 |  | Study 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | points | deciles | 7-day mean | 1-day mean |
| slope | 0.0072 | 0.0067 | 0.0093 | 0.015 |
| intercept | 35.99 | 36.00 | 33.69 | 33.524 |
| Clslope | 0.0028 | 0.0024 | -0.019 | 0.0019 |
|  | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.038 | 0.029 |
| Clintercept | 35.88 | 35.89 | 32.88 | 33.15 |
|  | 36.11 | 36.12 | 34.51 | 33.90 |
| tslope | 3.18 | 3.56 | 0.68 | 2.25 |
| tintercept | 590.34 | 708.93 | 86.9 | 174.92 |
| F | 10.15 | 12.64 | 0.46 | 5.06 |
| p | $0.0015\left(^{*}\right)$ | $0.0074\left(^{*}\right)$ | 0.50 | $0.026\left(^{*}\right)$ |
| R2 | 0.0094 | 0.61 | 0.022 | 0.027 |



## Chronic disease - Risk factors What you do Exercise





For men 20-59 de PREVENIMSS 2006

Tipo de ejercicio practicado vs probabilidad de tener diabetes $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{X})$


## Gráfica de Probabilidad de Diabetes versus tipo de ejercicio



Is it riskier to walk than do nothing?

# Obesity- risk factors What you think /feel <br> Obesity is unrecognised by the sufferer in spite of the symptoms 

Epidemiological data from ENSANUT 2006


Opinions of obese people regarding pictures: a201



People think they're less overweight/obese than they are. Symptom severity is underestimated.

Fundamental question: Why do we "lie" to ourselves?
J. Easton, H. Sicilia - BMC, Frontiers in Public Health

## Perception of weight and Cognitive Biases - What you think/feel



Figure 2. Comparison of non-diagnosed (ND) versus diagnosed (D)
obese mean responses for the category self-perception question by gender.

## Self-serving bias Anchoring bias

Slopes in the linear range are $35-50 \%$ less than one would expect if people could gauge their weight accurately! The lobster in the pot syndrome



Figure 3. Comparison of non-diagnosed (ND) versus diagnosed (D) obese mean responses
for the Stunkard figure rating scale question by gender.

## Chronic disease - risk factors What you think (know): Ignorance can kill

Epidemiological data from ENCOPREVENIMSS 2006


Ignorance and especially about health issues is as important a risk factor as obesity

For men 20-59 from PREVENIMSS 2006

Gráfica de probabilidad de diabetes versus qué piensas que significa el sexo protegido


# Obesity -risk factors Who you are 

| Driver | Value | Epsilon | $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} / \mathbf{X})$ | $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$ | $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{X} / \mathbf{C})$ | $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{X})$ | $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{C})$ | $\mathbf{N T o t a l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs2943641_A | 2 | 2.9391 | 0.6000 | 0.2169 | 6 | 10 | 123 | 567 |
| rs2972146_C | 2 | 2.9391 | 0.6000 | 0.2169 | 6 | 10 | 123 | 567 |
| rs2943650_G | 2 | 2.9391 | 0.6000 | 0.2169 | 6 | 10 | 123 | 567 |
| rs12629908_A | 2 | 2.6981 | 0.3116 | 0.2169 | 43 | 138 | 123 | 567 |
| rs870347_C | 2 | 2.2200 | 0.2914 | 0.2169 | 44 | 151 | 123 | 567 |
| rs1407434_G | 0 | 2.1617 | 0.2841 | 0.2169 | 50 | 176 | 123 | 567 |
| rs972283_A | 2 | 2.1543 | 0.3085 | 0.2169 | 29 | 94 | 123 | 567 |
| rs10496971_C | 2 | 1.9688 | 0.3011 | 0.2169 | 28 | 93 | 123 | 567 |
| rs2241766_C | 1 | 1.9472 | 0.2741 | 0.2169 | 54 | 197 | 123 | 567 |
| rs10885122_A | 2 | 1.9426 | 0.5000 | 0.2169 | 4 | 8 | 123 | 567 |
| rs2986742_G | 2 | 1.9121 | 0.4545 | 0.2169 | 5 | 11 | 123 | 567 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs1799884_A | 2 | -2.0385 | 0.0000 | 0.2169 | 0 | 15 | 123 | 567 |
| rs3943253_A | 2 | -2.0502 | 0.1364 | 0.2169 | 15 | 110 | 123 | 567 |
| rs4607517_A | 2 | -2.1053 | 0.0000 | 0.2169 | 0 | 16 | 123 | 567 |
| rs4880436_A | 2 | -2.1388 | 0.0870 | 0.2169 | 4 | 46 | 123 | 567 |
| rs174537_C | 2 | -2.1927 | 0.0851 | 0.2169 | 4 | 47 | 123 | 567 |
| rs174546_G | 2 | -2.1927 | 0.0851 | 0.2169 | 4 | 47 | 123 | 567 |
| rs174550_A | 2 | -2.1927 | 0.0851 | 0.2169 | 4 | 47 | 123 | 567 |
| rs972283_A | 0 | -2.3181 | 0.1521 | 0.2169 | 33 | 217 | 123 | 567 |
| rs2073821_A | 2 | -2.3502 | 0.1170 | 0.2169 | 11 | 94 | 123 | 567 |
| rs1513181_G | 2 | -2.3605 | 0.1250 | 0.2169 | 14 | 112 | 123 | 567 |
| rs2237895_A | 2 | -2.3836 | 0.1308 | 0.2169 | 17 | 130 | 123 | 567 |
| rs7803075_G | 2 | -2.4635 | 0.0847 | 0.2169 | 5 | 59 | 123 | 567 |
| rs896854_A | 0 | -2.5528 | 0.1398 | 0.2169 | 26 | 186 | 123 | 567 |
| rs7809589_C | 2 | -2.5964 | 0.1231 | 0.2169 | 16 | 130 | 123 | 567 |
| rs1111875_A | 0 | -3.2065 | 0.1211 | 0.2169 | 23 | 190 | 123 | 567 |

## UNAM Study 2014: Genetic analysis

772 SNPs considered Subsets with obesity, DM2, lipids, hepatic
obesity $($ score $=0.904$, predictive but scarce $)$
obesity $\quad($ score $=0.105$, not so predictive but common $)$


Doesn't give a good model on its own

## Obesity -risk factors Who you are, what you think, what you do

Frequency of obesity versus height


Why are short people so prone to obesity? Unit bias?*

Frequency of obesity


The crucial role played by "education" But what does it really mean?

## Chronic diseases

To understand the physiology and genetics of such diseases is important. However, these diseases are predominantly "behavioural" diseases, associated with "bad" decisions.
Why do we make "bad" decisions? What behaviour is plastic?

Establishing and untangling causal chains is very difficult. Causality must be respected...e.g.,
overeating $\longrightarrow$ overweight $\longrightarrow$ inflammation...
Not
inflammation $\longrightarrow$ overeating...

Variables in the Equation

|  |  | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{B})$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Step 1 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Sexo_F1M0 | -.058 | .160 | .130 | 1 | .719 | .944 |
|  | Education_5Grps | -.385 | .073 | 27.615 | 1 | .000 | .680 |
|  | Aedad | .020 | .006 | 11.578 | 1 | .001 | 1.021 |
|  | Constant | -1.025 | .359 | 8.159 | 1 | .004 | .359 |

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Sexo_F1M0, Education_5Grps, Aedad.

## Obesity

## Variables in the Equation

|  |  | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Step 1 $^{\text {a }}$ | Sexo_F1M0 | .239 | .296 | .653 | 1 | .419 | 1.270 |
|  | Education_5Grps | -.283 | .120 | 5.580 | 1 | .018 | .754 |
|  | Aedad | .062 | .011 | 31.859 | 1 | .000 | 1.064 |
|  | Constant | -5.091 | .709 | 51.615 | 1 | .000 | .006 |

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Sexo_F1M0, Education_5Grps, Aedad.

Diabetes / prediabetes
Variables in the Equation

|  |  | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Step 1 $^{\text {a }}$ | Sexo_F1M0 | -.789 | .134 | 34.783 | 1 | .000 | .454 |
|  | Education_5Grps | -.249 | .060 | 17.309 | 1 | .000 | .779 |
|  | Aedad | .031 | .005 | 35.576 | 1 | .000 | 1.031 |
|  | Constant | -.263 | .294 | .802 | 1 | .370 | .769 |

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Sexo_F1M0, Education_5Grps, Aedad.

## TGB

## Variables in the Equation

|  |  | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Step 1 $^{\text {a }}$ | Sexo_F1M0 | -.164 | .132 | 1.542 | 1 | .214 | .848 |
|  | Education_5Gips | -.005 | .059 | .008 | 1 | .928 | .995 |
|  | Aedad | .041 | .005 | 62.903 | 1 | .000 | 1.042 |
|  | Constant | -1.656 | .301 | 30.319 | 1 | .000 | .191 |

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Sexo_F1M0, Education_5Grps, Aedad.

## Cholesterol

# The Challenges of Modelling Human Health 

Human health, and any disease, is a CAS. To model such systems is on the very forefront of science. We don't do it well.

* CAS are extraordinarily multifactorial, requiring big data across multiple scales: genetics, epigenetics, physiology, psychology, neuroscience, epidemiology, sociology,... We don't have it.
* CAS require appropriate frameworks for generating data and sharing data. We don't have them.
* CAS require interdisciplinary teams to analyse and model the data. We don't have them.
* We need a more data science centered medicine and health science, requiring a shift in emphasis from curative medicine to preventative medicine

We have the technology to do the data "plumbing" but not the data semantics. We have a lot of interesting work to do over the coming months, years, decades,...

## You're all invited!

# Oportunidades Fundación Slim-C3 

¿Que quiere hacer la Fundación en el área de salud...?
"Fundación Carlos Slim genera acciones para ayudar a resolver los principales problemas de salud de la población más vulnerable de México y el resto de América Latina, a través de soluciones innovadoras, sustentables y replicables."
"El objetivo de sus programas es mejorar la salud de la población, para que más personas vivan más y mejor."

## ¡NOSOTROS TAMBIEN!

CASALUD-MIDO
Gran repositorio de datos de gran valor potencial - ¿Qué conocimiento hay ahi?
¡Necesitamos más datos!
Gran proyecto multifactorial - "Proyecto 42 " - construir la base de datos más profunda en el planeta - datos genéticos, datos fisiológicos, datos epidemiológicos, datos conductuales, datos ambientales, datos de conocimiento,...
¿Qué es el valor de información de la salud?
¿Cómo se cambia la conducta?
¿Qué es el grado de plasticidad de una conducta?

## Partial list of members of the C3 research program in Obesity and Diabetes

Chris Stephens C3 y ICN, UNAM Marcia Hiriart C3 y IFC, UNAM Enrique Hernández-Lemus INMEGEN Martha Käufer INNSZ Eduardo Garcia INNSZ<br>Alejandro Frank C3 y ICN, UNAM Bruno Estañol INNSZ<br>Guillermo Melendez Hospital General Ruben Fossion C3 y ICN, UNAM Ali Ruíz Coronel C3, UNAM Samuel Canizales INMEGEN<br>Emmanuel Landa C3 y ICN, UNAM Irving Morales C3 y ICN, UNAM Joel Mendoza C3 y ICN, UNAM Jose Figueroa UNAM

Ana Leonor Rivera ICN, UNAM
Natalia Mantilla C3 y FC, UNAM
Sergio Hernández C3 y FC, UNAM
Jonathan Easton C3, UNAM
Hugo Flores Huerta C3 y IIMAS, UNAM
Luis Miguel Gutierrez INGer
Ulises Perez INGer
Roberto Carlos Castrejon INGer
Diana de la Cruz FM, UNAM
Concepción García FC, UNAM
Francisco Fernández de Miguel IFC, UNAM
Dagmara Wrzecionkowska FCP, UNAM
José Antonio Rivera FC, UNAM
Heriberto Sicilia FC, UNAM

